UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                   | FILING DATE                | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/522,523                        | 01/26/2005                 | Guy Marck            | Q85512              | 7429             |
| 23373<br>SUGHRUE MI               | 7590 08/11/200<br>ON, PLLC | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.    |                            |                      | LISTVOYB, GREGORY   |                  |
| SUITE 800<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20037 |                            |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                                   |                            |                      | 1796                |                  |
|                                   |                            |                      |                     |                  |
|                                   |                            |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                                   |                            |                      | 08/11/2009          | PAPER            |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | 10/522,523  | MARCK ET AL.   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|   | GREGORY LISTVOYB  | 1796   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>Period for Reply   | pears on the cover sheet with the   | correspondence address   |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO<br>136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>e, cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. mely filed  the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A   | s action is non-final.<br>ance except for formal matters, pr  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 3-17 and 19-41 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10,12-13,19-20 and 41 is/are rejected to solve to restriction and/of 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/of Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ according to the above | ewn from consideration.  eted.  o.  or election requirement.  er.   | Evaminer   |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E  | e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se<br>tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                        |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/21/2009.   | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:   | ate  |  |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-10, 12-13, 19-20 and 41 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takao et al (US 6139927) herein Takao (cited in the previous Office Action).

Takao discloses a diamine used in the liquid crystal aligning materials (see Abstract) of Formula 1, where A1 and A2 are Alkyls (Column 3, Example 20 and Column 3, line 55).

For instance, Takao teaches diamine of the following formula (20) (see Column 56):

Claimed formula 1 of claim 3 is the following:

Application/Control Number: 10/522,523 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

$$H_2N$$
 $A^1$ 
 $A^2$ 
 $NH_2$ 

where A1 and A2 are represented by the following formula II:

$$S^{1} = \left[C^{1} - Z^{1}\right]_{n1} = \left[C^{2} - Z^{2}\right]_{n2} = \left[C^{3}\right]_{n3} = 0$$

If n1=n2=n3=0, the above formula II transforms to S1-D fragment, where S1 is equal to a single bond and D is equal; to alkyl residue.

However, although Takao broadly teaches Alkyls (see Column 3, line 65, where R1 and R2 are alkyl groups), the reference does not teach Alkyl with 5-24 carbons.

The position is taken that Takao's teaching encompasses the subject matter claimed, since definition of alkyl is broader than claimed Alkyl with 5-24 carbons.

Note that Takao does not teach such Alkyls in his Examples.

According to MPEP 2123, disclosed examples and preferred embodiments do not constitute a teaching away from a broader disclosure or nonpreferred embodiments (see also *In re Susi*, 440 F.2d 442, 169 USPQ 423 (CCPA 1971), *In re Gurley*, 27 F.3d 551, 554, 31 USPQ2d 1130, 1132 (Fed. Cir. 1994), *In re Fulton*, 391 F.3d 1195, 1201, 73 USPQ2d 1141, 1146 (Fed. Cir. 2004).

Application/Control Number: 10/522,523 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skills in the art to

consider Takao's diamine as having broad range of alkyl moieties, including one having

5-24 Carbons.

Note that dependent claims 4-5, 12-13 do not claim that n1,n2 and n3 are not

equal to 0. When n1=n2 = n3 = 0, the corresponding units are not presented in the

diamine claimed.

Regarding claim 19-20, the position is taken that alkane chain of Takao's diamine

can be isomerized at high radiation level.

Note that Claim language of the claims above ("which can be photoisomerized on

exposure to UV or laser light") claim only a possibility of the photoisomerisation and

permits the presence of any additional materials (catalysts, promoters, co-reactants,

etc.) in the process.

In reference to claim 41, Takao teaches a liquid crystal orientation material based

on the above diamine (see Abstract)

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11, 14-17 and 21-40 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/21/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Takao's is different from that of the present invention of formula (I). The substituents A1 and A2 in example 20 of Takao are methyl groups, whereas A1 and A2 of the present invention are an alkyl chain with at least 5 carbon atoms (see above the citation of claim 3 of the present invention).

However, Takao broadly teaches Alkyls (see Column 3, line 65, where R1 and R2 are alkyl groups. The position is taken that Takao's teaching encompasses the subject mater claimed, since definition of alkyl is broader than claimed Alkyl with 5-24 carbons.

Examiner agrees with Applicant's arguments that Beilstein Institute reference doers not meet the limitations of claim 3, since A1 and A2 are defined in the proviso of claim 3 to be an alkyl of at least 5 carbon atoms if n1, n2 and n3 are zero.

Thus, rejection based on Beilstein Institute is withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,523 Page 6

Art Unit: 1796

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GREGORY LISTVOYB whose telephone number is (571)272-6105. The examiner can normally be reached on 10am-7pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GL

/David Wu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796